# Software-based Realtime Recovery from Sensor Attacks on Robotic Vehicles

Choi, Hongjun, et al. 23rd International Symposium on Research in Attacks, Intrusions and Defenses (RAID 2020)

Presenter: SangminWoo@Syssec





### Introduction

### • RVs are becoming an integral part of our daily life.





## Introduction

- Previous works only focused on detecting malfunctions.
- Proposed a new technique to recover from the malfunctions
  - Software sensor: Software backup of physical sensors



# Background: Multi-sensor RVs

• Heterogeneous Sensor and Sensor Fusion on UAV





### **Background: Feedback Control Loop**





### **Background: Sensor Attacks**





# **Background: Existing Approaches**



Sensor Fusion with Sensor Redundancy (TMR)

- ✤ Hardware Sensor Redundancy
  - Multiple HW sensors
  - Competitive (e.g., voting) or complementary way (e.g., weighted average)
- Heterogeneous Sensor Fusion
  - Use different types of sensors to measure states
  - Extended Kalman Filter
- Limitation
  - Attack resilient only for subset of sensors
  - Difficult to pinpoint the compromised sensor
  - cost



### Contribution

- Propose a novel software-based technique: software sensors to recovery from sensor attacks
- ✤ Address prominent challenges:
  - How to generate software sensors using system identification?
  - How to recover from individual sensor failures?
  - How to improve software sensor accuracy considering external disturbances for practical usage?
- Comprehensive experiments on various RVs using attacks on one or multiple sensors



### Software-sensor

#### 1 main\_loop() {



**Control Program** 



## **Technical Challenges**

- Efficiency: Spatial & Temporal
- ✤ Intrinsic errors
  - Model inaccuracy
  - Conversion errors
  - External disturbances
- Determining parameters



### **Design Overview**





# **System Identification**

System model predicts physical states changes





 $y(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1.8651 & 16.8655 & 10.0631 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix} u(t)$ 

### **Software Sensors**

#### $\boldsymbol{\diamondsuit}$ Conversion Operation

- Convert predicted model states to sensor readings
- Conversion equation for each sensor with coordinate system transformation





### **Software Sensors**



#### **Practical Challenges**

- Practical Limitations Inaccuracy
  - Conversion Error
  - Model Inaccuracy
  - External disturbances
- Errors are accumulated over time



# **Error Correction Techniques**

#### Conversion error correction



Raw measurement



Smooth noise-robust differentiator



Corrected



# **Error Correction Techniques**

#### Model error correction





# **Error Correction Techniques**





# **Evaluation: Subject Systems**

♦ 6 Vehicles (2 real / 4 simulated vehicles)

Туре	Model	Controller Software	Number of Sensors				
			G	A	M	В	P
Quadrotor	APM SITL	ArduCopter 3.4	2	2	1	1	1
Hexacopter	APM SITL	ArduCopter 3.6	2	2	1	1	1
Rover	APM SITL	APMrover2 2.5	2	2	1	1	1
Quadrotor	Erle-Copter	ArduCopter 3.4	2	2	1	1	1
Rover	Erle-Rover <sup>†</sup>	APMrover2 3.2	1	1	2	1	1
Quadrotor	3DR Solo <sup>†</sup>	APM:solo 1.3.1	3	3	3	2	1

\* G: gyroscope, A: accelerometer, M: magnetometer, B: barometer, P: GPS † Real Vehicles



Erle-Rover



### **Evaluation: Setting**

#### ✤ Attack

- Simulate the physical attack with an attack code
- Modify sensor readings in sensor interfaces
- Controlled attack (e.g., random, selected values)
- ✤ Recovery

$$R_{succ} := |Y_t - \bar{Y}_t| \le \varepsilon, t \in [1...k]$$

 $Y_t$  : real state $\overline{Y}_t$  : prediction $\varepsilon$  : error margink : time for recovery success





### Gyro Attack Recovery on 3DR Solo



Gyro Attack Recovery







### **Stealthy GPS Attack on Erle-rover**

Advanced Stealthy GPS attack: Random/Controlled Attack and Recovery





### **Attack Combination and Result Highlights**



### **Performance Overhead**





6.11

4.11 3.95

# **Related Work (Previous)**

Choi, Hongjun, et al. "Detecting attacks against robotic vehicles: A control invariant approach." Proceedings of the 2018 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security. 2018.

- Expect state output based on system modeling
- If the accumulated error in monitor window exceed a threshold, alarms the attack attempt.





# Related Work (Work after this paper)

Dash, Pritam, et al. "Pid-piper: Recovering robotic vehicles from physical attacks." 2021 51st Annual IEEE/IFIP International Conference on Dependable Systems and Networks (DSN). IEEE, 2021.

- ML-based Feed Forward Controller
- FFC replaces PID controller if an attack is detected





# Related Work (Work after this paper)

- Akowuah, Francis, et al. "Recovery-by-learning: Restoring autonomous cyber-physical systems from sensor attacks." 2021 IEEE 27th International Conference on Embedded and Real-Time Computing Systems and Applications (RTCSA). IEEE, 2021.
  - LSTNet training for prediction model that exploits the temporal correlation among heterogeneous sensors
  - Checkpointer saves normal behavior if no attack detected.
  - If an attack is detected, state predictor generates proper input based on checkpoints.





## Conclusion

- They proposed a novel software-sensor based real-time recovery technique for RVs
  - Support heterogeneous multiple sensor recovery
- ✤ The technique can't recover from..
  - Accumulated error during the recovery window
  - Undetectable small error attacks
- Evaluations were not persuasive
  - Why not real attack?
  - The explanation of attacks are not specific
  - Why only hovering?



# **Thank You!**

